

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	CATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/813,347	03/30/2004		Gilbert Lavielle	S403DIV	5023
25666	7590 10/15/2004			EXAMINER	
		ESCHEN AND SA	HUANG, EVELYN MEI		
500 COLUN 350 EAST N		N AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KALAMAZ	00, MI	49007		1625	
				DATE MAILED: 10/15/200	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		7	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
			10/813,347	LAVIELLE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		1	Examiner	Art Unit				
		1	Evelyn Huang	1625				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi r Reply	cation appea	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION is ions of time may be available under the provisions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commingeriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(unication.)) days, a reply witutory period will will, by statute, ca	a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ithin the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from use the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this co				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on .						
			ction is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 19-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 19-24 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a)∏ accept						
	Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	the correction	is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CF	` '			
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ <i>A</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 2. Certified copies of the priority of None of: 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action	locuments h locuments h f the priority al Bureau (l	nave been received. Prave been received in Application Practice documents have been receive PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>10/400,358</u> d in this National	_			
Attachment	•							
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te)-152)			

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 19-24 are pending.

Priority

2. This application is the divisional application of 10/400358. An application in which the benefits of an earlier application are desired must contain a specific reference to the prior application(s) in the first sentence of the specification or in an application data sheet (37 CFR 1.78(a)(2) and (a)(5)). The specific reference to any prior nonprovisional application must include the relationship (i.e., continuation, divisional, or continuation-in-part) between the applications except when the reference is to a prior application of a CPA assigned the same application number.

Specification

3. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the meaning of 'Medicaments' by itself in the last line is unclear. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1625

The 'cognitive disorders, impulsive disorders, disorders of the libido and sexual dysfunctions, sleep disorders, appetite disorders' in the method claims reaches out to as yet unidentified 'cognitive disorders, impulsive disorders, disorders of the libido and sexual dysfunctions, sleep disorders, appetite disorders', the description of which is not found in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating depression bulimia and anorexia and for inhibiting penile erection, does not reasonably provide enablement for all the other disorders as recited. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

a. Nature of the invention.

The instant invention is drawn to the method of using a 5-HT_{2c} antagonist indoline compound in the treatment of depression, schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, migraine, cognitive disorders, impulsive disorders, disorders of the libido and sexual dysfunctions, sleep disorders, appetite disorders, bulimia and anorexia.

b. State of the prior art and the level of the skill in the art.

5-HT receptors are known to have subclasses differing in their structures, regional distribution, pharmacology, modes of actions, and functions (Wijngaarden et al. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1993, 112:126-130; Barnes et al. Neuropharmacology, 1999, 38: 1083-1085 and 1107-1110, pages 1085-6). While 5HT_{2c} receptor has been implicated in many physiological responses, the functional effects unequivocally associated with activation of 5HT_{2c} receptors are

Art Unit: 1625

hypolocomotion, hypophagia, anxiogenesis, penile erection and dopamine release (Barnes, page 1110, Table 8). The nexus between schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, migraine, and the generic classes of disorders embracing opposite and conflicting conditions arising from different origins, (such as cognitive disorders, impulsive disorders, disorders of the libido and sexual dysfunctions, sleep disorders, appetite disorders), and 5HT_{2c} receptor has not been fully established.

The level of the skill in the 5-HT $_{2c}$ receptor antagonist art is high.

c. Predictability/unpredictability of the art.

The high degree of unpredictability is well recognized in the 5-HT receptor ligand art. A slight change in the structure of the compound would drastically alter its affinity and selectivity (Wijngaarden et al. Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1993, 112:126-130, pages 129-130, Fig. 6, Fig. 7, Fig. 8). One of ordinary skill in the art therefore would have no basis to extend the experimental data to compounds structurally remote from the tested compounds. Furthermore, the degree of unpredictability would be even higher in the more complex in vivo situation.

d. Amount of guidance/working examples.

Preparation of example compounds has been described.

The procedures for measurement of the extracellular concentration of dopamine and noraderenaline in the frontal cortex of the conscious rat, the procedures for the penile erection test in the rat, for the social interaction test in the rat and the Vogel conflict test are described in Examples 5-8 of the specification. Results are shown for the compound of Example 1.

e. The breadth of the claims.

Applicant's assertion that all the inventive compounds would be effective in treating schizophrenia, Parkinson's disease, migraine, any cognitive disorders (arising from different origins involving different mechanisms, including delirium, dementia and amesia), any appetite disorder (including the conflicting hyperphagia and anorexia), any disorder of thermoregulation (including the opposing hypothermia and hyperthermia), any sleep dysfunction (including the conflicting insomnia and narcolepsy) or any sexual dysfunction (including the conditions involving the opposing inhibitory and stimulatory sexual behaviors) does not commensurate with

Art Unit: 1625

the scope of the objective enablement, especially in view of the high degree of unpredictability in the art, the limited working examples and the non-establishment of the nexus between the antagonism of 5HT_{2c} receptor and the recited disorders/conditions (paragraphs b, c, d above).

f. Quantitation of undue experimentation.

Since the instant 'cognitive disorders' 'appetite disorders', 'impulsive disorders', 'sleep disorders', and 'disorders of the libido and sexual dysfunction' etc. are general classes of disorders embracing opposing and conflicting conditions arising from diverse origins, it is impossible to use a single 5HT_{2c} receptor antagonist compound of the instant to treat all these contradictory conditions (see paragraph 2 above). Furthermore, in view of the high degree of unpredictability in the art, the limited working examples and the fact that the breadth of the claims does not commensurate with that of the objective enablement, and that the nexus between the antagonism of 5HT_{2c} receptor and the recited disorders/conditions has not been established, the disclosure as presented would not allow one of ordinary skill in the art to use the invention as claimed without undue experimentation (paragraphs b-e above).

Conclusion

- 6. No claims are allowed.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Evelyn Huang whose telephone number is 571-272-0686. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1625

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Evelyn Huang

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625
